Cervical Cancer Prevention: An Update on New Screening and Risk-Based Management Guidelines

Nancy R. Berman MSN, ANP-BC, MSCP, FAANP Adult Nurse Practilioner/Colposcopist Certified Menopause Practitioner (NANS) Millennium Affliated Physiciane Denomouth Millen Meatheraper Professionals Praniputon Historian Meatheraper Professionals Praniputon Historiane Professionals Clinical Instructor Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology Wayne State University School of Medicine Detroit, Michigan

Disclosures Advisory Board: Astellas Speakers Bureau: Astellas

2

Objectives

1

3

 Describe the role of persistent oncogenic HPV in the development of pre-cancer and cancer of the cervix
 List two different uses of HPV testing in cervical cancer screening including co-testing and HPV testing as primary stand-alone screening
 Understand how HPV epidemiology drives risk-based cancer prevention

4.Understand why risk-based management represents an improvement in care

5.Learn fundamentals of risk-based guidelines for managing patients

Goal of Cervical Cancer Screening

- Prevent morbidity and mortality from cervical cancer by:
 Identifying and treating high-grade cervical
- cancer precursors
- Avoiding unnecessary and potentially hazardous evaluations and treatment
- Minimizing costs to healthcare system

Increase benefit and decrease harm!

Saslow D, et al. American Gancer Society, American Society for Colposcopy and Cervical Pathology, and American Society for Clinical Pathology screening guidelines for the prevention and early detection of cervical cancer. J Low Genit Tract Dis, 2012;16(D): 175-204.

Key Facts In the Natural History of HPV For management and counseling

HPV and Cervical Cancer

- Virtually all cervical cancers are associated with persistent infection with high-risk HPV types
- Data from a variety of studies have confirmed that certain HPV types are associated with cervical cancer: 16, 18, 31, 33, 35, 39, 45, 51, 52, 56, 58, 59
- Others are probably associated: 26,53, 66, 68,73, 82

Oncogenic HPV is a necessary cause of cervical cancer!

IARC. Monographs on the Evaluation of Carcinogenic Risks to Humans. (in press); Munoz N. Vaccine. 2006.







Risk Factors for <i>Persistent</i> HPV Infection and/or Neoplastic Progression
• Smoking • HPV type
Increasing age
Lack of condom use
Immunodeficiency (eg, HIV)
Possibly OC use
Possibly other STIs, such as chlamydia

Moscicki A-B. Vaccine. 2008; Moscicki A-B. J Infect Dis. 2004; Hogewoning CJ. Int J Cancer. 2003.





Transformation Zones and HPV Infection • Area where one type of epithelium contacts and gradually replaces another through process of metaplasia Why Is the Cervix At Risk • Present in cervix, anus, tonsils Understanding Transformation Zones Areas of HPV-related carcinogenesis Moscicki AB. Vaccine. 2006. 16









New Screening and Risk-Based Management Guidelines

- Increased knowledge of the natural history of HPV infection has allowed the evolution of screening and management guidelines.
- The role of HPV testing has increased in screening and management.
- There is a paradigm shift from results-based management to risk-based management.
- The management guidelines are available through a phone-based app for purchase or a free web version.

Current Approach to Cervical Cancer Prevention

- Requires four separate but linked components:
- HPV vaccination Screening

growner, Published 2021. Accessed Sept 29, 202 Curry SJ, Krist AH, Owens DK, et al. Screening for

- Cytology with or without HPV testing Stand alone HPV testing: Primary HPV Screening
- · Evaluation of screen-positive women using colposcopy and cervical biopsy
- Treatment of women with biopsy-confirmed highgrade cervical cancer precursors · Expedited treatment of the highest risk women

19

20

Change Has Come!

New 2020 American Cancer Society Screening Guidelines Have Changed From 2012!

Current ASCCP, ACP and USPSTF Guidelines for Screening Remain the Same

21



24

Factors Indicating Need for More Frequent Screening

- HIV infection
- Immunosuppression
- DES exposure in utero
- Previous treatment for CIN 2, CIN 3, or cancer

ACOG Practice Bulletin #109. 2009

Age to Start Cervical Cancer Screening

Factors to consider:

- HPV infections are common in young women
- Cervical cancer is rare in adolescents/voung women
- · Evaluation of minor cytological abnormalities: Is expensive
- Causes anxiety Can lead to unnecessary treatments

ACOG Committee on Gynecologic Practice. Obstet Gynecol. 2006.

Patient population	USPSTF (2018)	ACS (2020)
<21 y old	No screening	
21-25 y old	Cytology alone every 3 y	No screening
25-29 y old		Preferred:
30-65 y old	Cytology alone every 3 y Cotesting ^b every 5 y Primary HPV ^a test every 5 y	Primary HPV * test every 5 y Acceptable: ^c Cotesting ^b every 5 y Cytology alone every 3 y
>65 y old	No screening necessary after adequate negative prior screening	
Prior total hysterectomy	No screening necessary in those without a history of high- grade cervical dysplasia or cervical cancer	No screening necessary in those without a history of CIN 2+ or a more severe diagnosis in the past 25 y or cervical cancer ever
Prior HPV vaccination	Follow age-specific recommend	ations



The ASCCP Cervical Cancer Screening Task Force Endorsement and Opinion on the American Cancer Society Updated Cervical Cancer Screening Guidelines · The ASCCP recognizes the need to move toward primary HPV-based cervical cancer screening Acknowledges that it will take time to transition clinical and laboratory workflow and operations.

- The ASCCP no longer endorses its 2012 cervical cancer screening guidelines screening that do not include primary HPV
- The combination of abnormal results that occur from either guidance should be managed using the 2019 ASCCP Risk-Based Management Consensus Guidelines.

Jenna Z. MD¹; Cason, Patty RN, MS, FNP-BC²; Dowra, Levi S. Jr. MD, MS³; Einstein, Mark H. MD, MS¹; Flowers, Cancer Screening Task Force Endorsement and Opision on the American Cancer Society Updated Cervical Cancer of Lower Genilar Tanc Disease: Luk 2021 - Velume 23 - Issue 3 - 0 187-191 doi: 10.1097/LGT.200000000001

26

28















HPV Detection with FDA-Approved Tes	ts
 Five tests are currently FDA approved and commercially available in the US Two are approved for primary, stand-alone screening 	
	more



HPV Testing

- FDA approved uses in screening:
- ASC-US Triage
- Preferred age 25-65 · Acceptable age 21-24 but does not change management if positive
- · Genotyping is not a factor in management • Co-testing: Pap and HPV (age 30 and older)
- Genotyping may be used to stratify management • Primary HPV (Stand alone: age 25 and older) · FDA approved for only two HPV tests at this time

· Genotyping is reported on all tests

Saslew D, et al. American Cancer Society, American Society for Colpercopy and Cervical Pathology, and American Society for Clinical Patholog acreening guidelines for the prevention and early detection of cervical cancer. J Low Genit Tract Dis. 2012;16(3):175-204.

37

Other uses of HPV testing: • Post abnormal screening and colposcopy follow-up See auidelines¹ Follow-up after cervical treatment

1. Massad LS, Einstein NH, Huh WK, et al. 2012 updated consensus guidelines for the management of abnorma tests and cancer precumors. Obstetrics and gynecology. 2013;121(6):329-845. 1998;338(7):423-428.

38

HPV Testing



39

Rationale for Cotesting Ages 30-64

- Increased detection of prevalent CIN3
- Decreased CIN3 in subsequent screening rounds Achieves risk of CIN3 equal to cytology alone
- @ 1-3 year intervals
- · Enhances detection of adenocarcinoma/AIS
- · Minimizes the increased number of colposcopies, thus it reduces harms.

ACS/ASCCP/ASCP

"...health care providers can rely on the negative predictive value of the HPV test to assure women who cotest negative that they are at very low risk for CIN3 and cancer for at least 5 years after negative cotesting."

Saslow D, et al. Ca J Clin. 2012.

42

ASG

JO-Testini	1	
HPV Result	Cytology	Recommended Management
Negative	Negative	Cotest in 5 years
Negative	ASC-US	Cotest in 3 years
Positive	ASC-US	Colposcopy
Negative	LSIL	Repeat cotesting in 1 year preferred; colposcopy acceptable
Positive	Pap ≥ LSIL	Colposcopy
Any	HSIL	Colposcopy or immediate loop electrosurgical excision
Positive	Negative	Option 1: Cotest in 12 months Option 2: Reflex to genotyping for HPV 16/18. If positive, colposcopy. If negative, cotest in 12 months













Primary HPV test	Year FDA approved	Individual genotypes reported	Pooled genotypes reported
cobas HPV	2014	16, 18	31, 33, 35, 39, 45 51, 52, 56, 58, 59 66, 68
Onclarity HPV	2018	16, 18, 31, 45, 51, 52	Grouped results: 33, 58, 35, 39, 68 56, 59, 66

Why HPV Primary Screening?

Co-testing (Pap and HPV testing) is only marginally better than HPV testing alone!

Cox JT, et al. Comparison of cervical cancer screening strategies incorporating different combinations of cytology, HPV testing, and genotyping for HPV 16/18: results from the ATHENA HPV study. *Am J Obstet Gynecol.* 2013;208(3):184 e181-184 e111.

49

2014 FDA Approval for Primary HPV Testing for Cervical Cancer Screening

Rationale

Educate the Educator: ASCCP 2016

- More sensitive and reproducible than cytology
 Assesses current and future risk
- More cost-effective for large-volume screening
- May be more useful in women vaccinated against HPV

Importance of Genotyping for HPV 16 &18

52

50

Over two thirds of cervical cancers in the United States are caused by HPV 16 &18
Other individual high-risk HPV genotypes are associated with far fewer cancers
Persistent HPV 16 infection confers a very high risk for CIN 3+, as shown in multiple long-term studies

Wright TC-Jr., et al. Evaluation of HPV-16 and HPV-18 genchyping for the triage of women with high-risk HPV+ cytology-negative results. *Am J Clin Fathral.* 2011;138(4):578-368. Ronco G, et al. HPV16 and HPV16 genchybring in cervical cancer screening. *Lancet Oncol.* 2011;12(9):831-832.

51





- Prospective, multicenter, US-based study of 47,208
 women aged 21 and older
- Recruited at time of routine screening
- 2.6% had been vaccinated against HPV
 Screened by liquid based cytology and HPV test

Weight TC, et al. Phimary convical cancer screening with human papelicimevicus: end of study results from the ATHENA study using HPV as the final-line screening last. Gymood Oxol. 2015;18(2):189-197. Weight TC, 2, et al. The ATHENATurnan papelicimavieus study: design, methods, and baseline results. Am J Closter Gymood. 2012;20(3):86-01:46-01.















· Drovidor ontoro woman'a	Risk of CIN3+
current test results and past	61-100%
history	5-60%
Risk matrix is used to	1-4%
calculate her risk of CIN2/3	0.05%
0	0.02%
 Computer algorithm generates risk score 	<0.01%



Cheung LC et al J Low Genit Tract Dis 2020;24(2):90-101.

62



63



- The longer an HPV infection has been present, the higher the risk of pre-cancer and cancer
- Time matters
- Type matters (HPV 16 most dangerous)
- Other patient factors don't matter if you know about HPV
- CLINICAL CORRELATE: Colposcopy is always needed following two consecutive positive HPV tests







New Guidelines Prefer HPV Testing for Follow Up

- Surveillance with cytology alone is acceptable *only* if testing with HPV or cotesting is not feasible.
- Cytology is less sensitive than HPV testing for detection of precancer and is therefore recommended more often.
- Cytology is recommended at 6-month intervals when HPV testing or cotesting is recommended annually.
- Cytology is recommended annually when 3year intervals are recommended for HPV or cotesting.

Perkins RB, Guide RS, Castle PE, et al. 2019 ASCCP Risk-Based Management Guidelines for abnormal cervical cancer screening lesis and cancer pre-cursors. J Low Genit Tract Dis. 2020;24(2):102-131

69





Perkins RB, Guido RS, Castle PE, et al. 2019 ASCCP Risk-Based Management Guidelines for abnormal cervical cancer acreening tests and cancer pre-cursors. J Low Genit Tract Dis. 2020;24(2):102-131

70

72

68

Risk Thresholds for CIN3 and Management

- Recommendation for colposcopy, treatment, or surveillance is based on a patient's risk of having CIN3+
- This risk is calculated within an algorithm with the patient's current results and any previous results that are available put into an app
- The algorithm is designed to provide the risk-based information with as much or as little previous history as known

Perkins RB, Guido RS, Castle PE, et al. 2019 ASCCP Risk-Based Management Guidelines for abnormal cervical cancer screening tests and cancer pre-cursors. J Low Gent Tract Dis. 2020;24(2):102-131

Risk Thresholds for CIN3 and Management

- If the risk for CIN3 is 4% or higher, clinical actions will fall into the categories of colposcopy or expedited treatment
- For patients with a highest risk of 60% or higher, it is preferred to proceed directly to expedited excisional treatment without colposcopy
- Patients with risk between 25% and 59% can choose between expedited treatment or colposcopy

Perkins RB, Guido RS, Castle PE, et al. 2019 ASCCP Risk-Based Management Guidelines for abnormal cervical cancer screening tests and cancer pre-cursors. J Low Gent Tract Dis. 2020;24(2):102-131

Risk Thresholds for CIN3 and Management

- In patients with a 4% to 24% risk: colposcopy is preferred
- Patients with a risk below 4% are managed with surveillance: repeat HPV testing or cotesting at 1, 3, or 5 years that is determined by the estimated 5-year CIN3 risk

Perkins RB, Guido RS, Castle PE, et al. 2019 ASCCP Risk-Based Management Guidelines for abnormal cervical cancer screening tests and cancer pre-curson. J Low Genit Tract Dis. 2020;24(2):102-131

2019 Management Guidelines

73



74



75

Changes to Follow-up After Treatment of CIN2/3

- HPV-based testing at 6 months, then annually for 3 years
 Continued surveillance with HPV testing or co-testing at 3-year intervals for at least 25 years
- Continued surveillance at 3-year intervals beyond 25 years is acceptable for as long as the patient's life expectancy and ability to be screened are not significantly compromised by serious health issues.

Note: 2012 guidelines recommended return to 5-yr screening intervals and did not specify when screening should cease. New evidence indicates that risk remains elevated for at least 25 yrs, with no evidence that treated patients ever return to risk levels compatible with 5-yr intervals. Highest Risk Patients Receive Expedited Treatment

High-grade cytology with HPV16 infections are highest risk
Excisional treatment for patients at high risk

 Excisional treatment for patients at high risk of pre-cancer without requiring confirmatory biopsy

Perkins RB, Guido RS, Castle PE, et al. 2019 ASCCP Risk-Based Management Guidelines for abnormal cervical cancer acreening basis and cancer pre-currors. J Low Gent Tract Dis. 2020;24(2):102-131

76

ASSUMPTION: Intervals for retesting should reflect underlying risk (equal management for equal risks)

The goal was to:

- Define surveillance intervals
- Define threshold to release patients back to general population screening
- Define risk thresholds for short interval follow up at 1 and 3 years
- Determine which tests to use for surveillance and at what intervals
- HPV alone, HPV/cytology cotesting, cytology (Pap) alone

5-year Return Clinical Action Threshold

Guideline:

- When patients have an estimated 5-year CIN3+ risk of <0.15% based on past history and current test results:
- Return to routine screening at 5-year intervals using HPV-based testing is recommended.
- Note HPV-based testing is cotesting or primary HPV testing

3-year Return Clinical Action Threshold

Guideline:

- When patients have an estimated 5-year CIN3+ risk ≥0.15% but <0.55% based on past history and current test results:
- Repeat testing in 3 years with HPV-based testing is recommended
- Note HPV-based testing is cotesting or primary HPV testing

79

1-year Return Clinical Action Threshold

Guideline:

- When patients have an estimated risk of CIN3+ based on past history and current result that is below the threshold for immediate colposcopy (4.0% immediate risk) and above the 3-year follow-up threshold (≥0.55% at 5 years):
- Repeat testing in 1 year with HPV-based testing is recommended
- Note HPV-based testing is cotesting or primary HPV testing

81

Personalized Recommendations Improve Management • Expedited diagnosis and treatment for high-risk patients • Fewer invasive procedures on low-risk patients

Perkins RB, Guido RS, Castle PE, et al. 2019 ASCCP risk-based management consensus guidelines for abnormal cancer screening tests and cancer precursors. J Low Genil Tract Dis 2020;24:102-31.

82

80

Patients at High-Risk

- Should be referred for expedited treatment
- Specific combinations of test results are so high-risk that patients should proceed directly to a diagnostic excisional procedure (LEEP)
- · HPV 16+ HSIL
- HPV-positive HSIL in patients who are underscreened (defined as no screening in more than 5 years)

Perkins RB, Guido RS, Castle PE, et al. 2019 ASCCP risk-based management consensus guidelines for abnormal cervical cancer screening tests and cancer precursors. J Low Genil Tract Dis 2020;24:102-31.

Patients at Medium Risk Should be Referred for Colposcopy

- Patients who are HPV+ twice in a row
- Any HPV16 or HPV18 positive
- Any high-grade Pap result (ASC-H, AGC, HSIL)
 Even if HPV results are negative
- Low-grade Pap results that are HPV positive (ASC-US or LSIL)
- Unless preceded by a negative HPV screening test or co-test within 5 years or by a normal colposcopy within 1 year

Perkins RB, Guido RS, Castle PE, et al. 2019 ASCCP risk-based management consensus guidelines for abnormal cervical cancer acreening leats and cancer precursors. J Low Gent Tract Dis 2020;24:102-31.

Fundamental Concept #3

After an abnormal result, patients enter a surveillance period of close follow up

 All abnormalities require an initial period of intensive surveillance followed by a longer period of surveillance at 3 year intervals For Individuals of Average Risk Who Have a Cervix

All positive HPV tests, regardless of genotype, should have additional reflex triage testing performed from the same laboratory specimen (e.g., reflex cytology).

- Additional testing from the same laboratory specimen is recommended because the findings may inform colposcopy practice.
- For example:
- Those with HSIL cytology and concurrent positive testing for HPV genotype 16 qualify for expedited treatment.

85

86

Key changes to 2015 Primary HPV Testing Interim Guidance

- HPV 16 or 18 infections have the highest risk for CIN3 and occult cancer, so additional evaluation (e.g., colposcopy with biopsy) is necessary even when cytology results are negative.
- If HPV 16 and 18 testing is positive, and additional laboratory testing of the same sample is not feasible, the patient should proceed directly to colposcopy.

Enduring: Accommodates New Tests in Development

- Establishment of risk-based thresholds means that new tests can be evaluated against existing thresholds instead of making new algorithms for each new test
- Test characteristics will be objectively compared to existing Clinical Action Thresholds
- Standardized, transparent clinical guidance will logically follow from test characteristics and existing consensus thresholds
- Reduces the need for interim guidance and frequent consensus conferences

87





89































Summary

104

- The new guidelines for cervical cancer prevention: • More benefit with least harm (over screening)
- Identifies low risk women (HPV and Pap negative) and reassures them about safety of longer screening interval
- Identifies truly at-risk women with persistent HPV ... Follow them diligently
- FDA approval of HPV testing as a primary screen, April 2014
- 2019 Risk-based Guidelines: simplify management
 2019 Risk-based Guidelines: enduring as new tests can be added over time
- Never has education of patients and clinicians been more important!

103

Summary

- Majority of cervical cancer in U.S. occurs in women who have not been screened or infrequently screened
- Improving access to screening for these women will have a great impact on the prevention of cervical cancer!



105

References

 Cheung LC, Egemen D, Chen X, et al. 2019 ASCCP risk-based management consensus guidelines: methods for risk estimation, recommended management, and validation. J Low Genit Tract Dis 2020;24:90– 101

 Demarco M. Egemen D. Raine-Bennett TR, Cheung LC, Befano B, Politas NE. Lorey TS, Chen X, Gage JC, Castle PE, Wentzensen N, Perkins RB, Guido RS, Schiffman M. A Study of Partial Human Papillomavirus Genotyping in Support of the 2019 ASCCP Risk-Based Management Consensus Guidelines. J Low Gent Tract Dis. 2020;24(2):144-147.

 Dillner J, Reboij M, Birembaut P, ... slftner T; Joint European Cohort Study. Long term predictive values of cytology and human papillomavirus testing in cervical cancer screening: joint European cohort study. BMJ. 2008 Oct 13:37: a 1754

 Egemen D, Cheung LC, Chen X, et al. Risk estimates supporting the 2019 ASCCP Risk-Based Management Consensus Guidelines. J Low Genit Tract Dis 2020;24:132–43.

5. Demarco M, Egemen D, Raine-Bennett TR, et al. A study of partial human papillomavirus genotyping in support of the 2019 ASCCP risk-based management consensus guidelines. J Low Genit Tract Dis 2020;24:144-7.

References

 Perkins, RB, Fuzzell LN, Lake P, Mchnyre M, Nayar R, Sannye M, Loukissa J, Felder T, Guido RS, & Vadaparampil, ST. Incorporating Stakeholder Feedback in Guidelines Development for the Management of Abnormal Cervical Cancer Screening Tests. J Low Genit Tract Dis. 2020;24(2):167-177

 Perkins RB, Guido RS, Castle PE, et al. 2019 ASCCP risk-based management consensus guidelines for abnormal cervical cancer screening tests and cancer precursors. J Low Genit Tract Dis 2020;24:102–31.

 Pierce Campbell CM, Menezes LJ, Paskett ED, Giuliano AR. Prevention of Invasive Cervical Cancer in the United States: Past, Present, and Future Cancer Epidemiology and Prevention Biomarkers Sep 2012, 21 (9) 1402-1408

9. Risk Estimates Supporting the 2019 ASCCP Risk-Based Management Consensus Guidelines.

 Huh WK, Ault KA, Chelmow D, et al. Use of Primary High-Risk Human Papillomavirus Testing for Cervical Cancer Screening: Interim Clinical Guidance. Obstet Gynecol. 2015;125(2):330-337.

References

 Moscicki AB, Cox JT. J Low Genit Tract Dis. 2010. Saslow D. et al. CA Cancer J Clin. 2012; ACOG Committee on Practice Bulletins. Obstet Gynecol. 2012; USPSTF. Moyer VA on behalf of the USPSTF. Ann Intern Med. 2012.

12. Final Recommendation Statement: US Preventative Task Force JAMA. 2018;320(7):674-686. doi:10.1001/jama.2018.10897

 Wright TC, et al. Primary cervical cancer screening with human papillomavirus: end of study results from the ATHENA study using HPV as the first-line screening test. Gynecol Oncol. 2015;138(2):189-197.